Mythbusting Scientific Knowledge Transfer with nanoHUB.org

Gerhard Klimeck, Purdue University, gekco@purdue.edu



Over 12,000 / 230,000 Users Annually

nanoHUB.org usage 2012-02-03 00:00:00

Thanks to

Research Group @Purdue @NASA JPL 1998-2003 @Texas Instruments 1994-1998

nanoHUB and HUBzero Team

nanoHUB contributors:

330+ tool authors

1,000+ content authors

1965 Gordon Moore



Number of Components per Integrated Circuit

Intel in 2009



Device Size: Tens of nanometers Stanford SUPREM

Device Integration: >2 Billion Berkeley SPICE

http://www.intel.com/technology/mooreslaw/index.htm transistors 10,000,000,000 Dual-Core Intel® Itanium® 2 Processor 1.000.000.000 MOORE'S LAW Intel[®] Itanium[®] 2 Processor Intel" Ranium' Processor 100,000,000 Intel" Pentium" 4 Processor Intel Pentium' II Processor Intel* Pentium* II Processor 10.000.000 Intel[®] Pentium[®] Processor Intel486" Processor 1,000,000 Intel386" Processor 286 100,000 8085 10,000 8080 1.000 1975 1980 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 1970

Berkeley Simulation Program with Integrated Circuit Emphasis.



from: Larry Nagel, BCTM '96

- Started as a class project
- Developed as a teaching tool
- Quality control: pass Pederson
- Dissemination:
 - Public domain code
 - Pederson carried tapes along
 - Students took it along to industry and academia

Released 1972

Stanford Stanford University PRocEss Modeling



- Stanford wanted to mimic Berkeley success
- Combine various existing models
- Dissemination:
 - Public domain code
 - Community workshops
 - Students took it along to industry and academia

Birth of an Industy





Intel Capitalization: \$85B Total Industry: \$280B



What's Next?



Nanotechnology





Extensive Facilities





© Gerhard Klimeck, Purdue University

Nano Models



Carbon Nanotubes

Computational Nano









Computational Nano













Different Worlds

















Why is this so hard?









Most research codes

are written by one user

for one User

Thursday, September 27, 12

© Gerhard Klimeck, Purdue University

17

```
Structure
    Material
                            = GaAs
        name
                            = substrate
        tag
        crystal structure
                            = simplecubic
        atoms
                            = (GaAs)
        Lattice:a lattice
                            = 0.565
        regions
                            = (1)
        Bands:TB:s:param set
                                                   = nanohub
        Bands:TB:s:nanohub:E S GaAs
                                                   = 12.1307935176
        Bands:TB:s:nanohub:V S S Sid
                                                   = -20
                                            s H
        Bands:TB:s:nanohub:p
                                             = 125
    Domain
                                = structure1
        name
                                = pseudomorphic
        type
                                = substrate
        base material
        dimension
                                = (18.0, 19.0, 9.0)
        periodic
                                = (false, false, false)
        crystal direction1
                                = (1, 0, 0)
        crystal direction2
                                = (0, 1, 0)
        crystal direction3
                                = (0, 0, 1)
        space orientation dir1 =
                                    (1, 0, 0)
        space or entation dir2 =
                                      , 1, 0)
                                     Δ
                                                     0
        regions
        geometry description
                                   imple shape
```







Why is this so hard?





A Mosts state (no installation)

are written by one user HUBzero Developer Eriendly Rappture Rappture

User Friendly

It has been very hard!



Accessible (no installation)

HUBZEro

Rappture

Developer Friendly

User Friendly

Emerged Myths

User Friendly

Cannot use research codes for education

Must write own code to do research

Experimentalists cannot use research codes

Developer Friendly

Building User Interfaces too Difficult Must rewrite code for web deployment There is no incentive to share codes

Accessible (no installation)

NO End-to-end Science Cloud Possible



Usual Science Gateway Process



• 175 tools / 4 years:

• \$500k/tool



nanoHUB.org

- NO new research!
- Not validated by researcher (disowned)
- Researcher has much better version
- Code rewrite takes
 2-3 years

Many Proposals read alike



Usual Science Gateway Process







175 tools / 4 years:





nanoHUB.org

- NO new research!
- Scale back expectations
- Not research codes
- Toy applications
- Not deep research
- Maybe for education?

Generating a Bad Reputation





nanoHUB Process

- 175 tools / 4 years without \$88M
- Eliminate bottlenecks
 - No Middleman
 - No Rewrite
 - Retain ownership
- Rapid Deployment:
 2-3 years → 1-2 weeks
- Rappture toolkit
- S/W Dev. Ecosystem

nanoHUB is different



Software Development Ecosystem



Researcher



nano4/UB - nowhere else!





Developer Collaboration Network



Developer Collaboration Impact



Next Generation Publications Research Incentives

Tool Usage \approx reading papers

Dragica Vasileska

sers of Simulation Tools Authored by Dragica Vasileska (11,570 U:



2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 Months / Years

17 tools→ 11,570 users
→ 123 citations

Computational Electronics

Semiclassical and Quantum Device Modeling and Simulation



CRC Press



Chair, Dept. of Electr. and Comp. Eng, SIUC



© Gerhard Klimeck, Purdue University

Emerged Myths

Activities on http://nanoHUB.org in 172 countries

New Registrations
Simulation Users
Tutorial / Lecture Users

nanoHUB.org usage 2012-02-03 00:00:00

Cannot use research codes for education Must write own code to do research NO End-to-end Science Cloud Possible Experimentalists cannot use research codes

User Behavior Analysis





User Behavior Analysis



Time to First Adoption



Rapid Adoption of Research



Revolutionizing Research -> Classroom



User Behavior Analysis => Is Research Possible?







h-index: Research Quality Indicator





Compute Intensive: NEMO/OMEN



18 years development

- Texas Instruments
- NASA JPL
- Purdue



Compute Intensive: NEMO/OMEN





Compute Intensive: NEMO/OMEN



18 years development

- Texas Instruments
- NASA JPL
- Purdue
- Peta-scale Engineering
- Gordon Bell



ACM Gordon Bell Prize Honorable Mention

Mathieu Luisier, Timothy B. Boykin, Gerhard Klimeck, Wolfgang Fichtner

Atomistic Nanoelectronic Device Engineering with Sustained Performances up to 1.44 PFlop/s









Compute Intensive: NEMO/OMEN





Double Precision
 Mixed Precision

Compute Intensive: NEMO/OMEN







- Texas Instruments
- NASA JPL
- Purdue
- Peta-scale Engineering
- Gordon Bell
- Science, Nature Nano

Powers 8 Tools: 10,837 Users 166,793 Simulation Runs

Morth Atlantic



Usage Patterns => Tool Qualification



Tools Ranked by Frequent Use in Teaching

Usage Patterns => Tool Qualification



Tools Ranked by Frequent Use in Teaching

Dual Use Education and Research are coupled!





Tools Ranked by Frequent Use in Teaching

Scientific Knowledge Transfer on nanoHUB.org Making Research Useful for Others Over 230,000 Users Annually: 857 papers 14,000

HUBZERO & Rappture

Accessible (FREE, no installation)

In Education, Accelerate Innovation

Developer Friendly

260+ tools >300 developers

students

User Friendly

In Research

12,000 Sim. Users Annually

Thursday, September 27, 12

104



Simulation Tools

- · Used by researchers
- · Used by experimentalists
- · Used in education

In a scientific cloud

Without any installation

Fully operational 24/7

With assessed IMPACT Many proposals read alike

he PowerPoints are identical



Simulation Tools

- · Used by researchers
- · Used by experimentalists
- · Used in education

In a scientific cloud

Without any installation

Fully operational 24/7

With assessed IMPACT Many proposals read alike

he PowerPoints are identical

nanoHUB.ora

We achieved that dream.

Thursday, September 27, 12

nanoHUB.org



Simulation Tools

- · Used by researchers
- · Used by experimentalists
- · Used in education

In a scientific cloud

Without any installation

Fully operational 24/7

With assessed IMPACT Many proposals read alike

e PowerPoints are identical 57









Simulation Tools and Experimental Data

- · Used by researchers
- · Used by experimentalists
- · Used in education

In a scientific cloud

Without any installation

Fully operational 24/7

With assessed IMPACT Many proposals read alike

he PowerPoints are identical 58









- · Used by researchers
- · Used by experimentalists
- · Used in education
- In a scientific cloud
- Without any installation



Nano Engineering

Fully operational 24/7 In all areas of Nano Engineering and Science With assessed IMPACT Personalized/Learning at sall Sork Conce Devekse

Become Part of the Day-to-Day Workflow, 59

Simulation Tools a Egenn · Used HEPROFESSIONAL nano SOUT · Used by experimentalists · Used in education In a scientific cloud Without any installation Fully operational 24/7 With assessed IMPACT

Keproducible Nano Engineering

Į

In all areas of Nano Engineering and Science Personalized Learning at all workforce levels Become Part of the Day-to-Day Workflow



I magine!

I magine

Simulation Tools and Experimental Data

- Used by researchers
- Used by experimentalists

Used in education
 In a scientific cloud
 Without any installation
 Fully operational 24/7
 With assessed I MPACT



In all areas of Nano Engineering and Science Personalized Learning at all workforce levels Become Part of the Day-to-Day Workflow